

RECEIVED: November 5, 2024

ACCEPTED: December 1, 2024

PUBLISHED: December 27, 2024

Transcendentality of ABJM two-point functions

Marco S. Bianchi 

*Facultad de Ingeniería, Arquitectura y Diseño, Universidad San Sebastián,
Santiago, Chile*

E-mail: marco.bianchi@uss.cl

ABSTRACT: We compute the two-point function of protected dimension-1 operators in ABJM up to two loops in dimensional regularization. The result exhibits uniform transcendentality empirically, which we conjecture to hold at all orders. We leverage this property to streamline the reconstruction of the dimensional regularization expansion of master integrals in terms of bases of Euler sums of uniform transcendental weight.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Chern-Simons Theories, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: [2410.23395](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.23395)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Two-point functions in the ABJM model	2
3	The calculation	3
4	Uniform transcendentality	4
5	Constraints on the transcendentality of master integrals	7
6	Comparison to four dimensions	11
7	Conclusions	11
A	Expansions up to transcendental weight 10	12
A.1	Planar non-trivial master integral	12
A.2	Non-planar uniformly transcendental combination of master integrals	14

1 Introduction

In this article, we investigate the transcendental structure of two-point functions in the ABJM model, the $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons theory in three spacetime dimensions [1]. This is motivated by the results found in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, where uniform transcendentality appears in the perturbative computation of two-point functions of protected dimension-2 operators calculated in dimensional regularization [2]. We aim to determine whether and how a similar phenomenon occurs in the ABJM model.

To this purpose, we focus on two-point functions of protected scalar operators in the ABJM model of the form $O = \text{Tr}(AA)$, where A represents a complex scalar of the theory. While such correlators are tree-level exact in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM (in exactly four dimensions), in the ABJM model only their dimension is protected from quantum corrections. Their normalization is not. We provide evidence that the dimensional regularization expansion of this normalization exhibits uniform transcendentality at two loops. We conjecture that this property extends to all orders.

Once established empirically, uniform transcendentality is then leveraged to facilitate an analytic evaluation of the non-trivial three-loop master integrals in momentum space appearing in the calculation. We perform high precision numerical evaluations of their expansions in dimensional regularization, via dimensional recurrence relations [3]. We then use the uniform transcendentality conjecture to construct combinations exhibiting this property. Finally, we reconstruct their expansion coefficients as rational combinations of suitable bases of uniformly transcendental Euler sums via PSLQ [4–6] or LLL [7].

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we provide a brief review of the relevant aspects of the ABJM model and introduce the scalar operators whose two-point functions we

will compute. In section 3, we perform the perturbative analysis of the two-point functions up to two-loop order. In section 4, we observe empirical evidence of uniform transcendentality and conjecture its extension to all loop orders. In section 5, we expand the relevant master integrals to higher orders in dimensional regularization, assuming uniform transcendentality and corroborating its validity. In section 6 we compare to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in four dimensions. Finally, we conclude with future perspectives.

2 Two-point functions in the ABJM model

We work in ABJM theory in three dimensions [1]. The coupling constant is identified with the inverse Chern-Simons level k^{-1} and we will perform perturbation theory around $k \rightarrow \infty$. The other two parameters of the model are the ranks of the gauge groups, which we keep distinct: N_1 and N_2 , as in [8]. We consider two-point functions of dimension-1 protected operators in ABJM of the form

$$\langle O(x)\bar{O}(0) \rangle \quad O = \text{Tr}(AA), \quad \bar{O} = \text{Tr}(\bar{A}\bar{A}) \quad (2.1)$$

with one scalar field A of the theory. Their spacetime structure is fixed by conformal invariance in three dimensions

$$\langle O(x)\bar{O}(0) \rangle = \frac{N(k)}{(x^2)^\Delta} \quad (2.2)$$

Supersymmetry prevents the operator's dimension from renormalizing, so that it is fixed to 1

$$\langle O(x)\bar{O}(0) \rangle = \frac{N(k)}{x^2} \quad (2.3)$$

We consider its Fourier transform to momentum space, where the perturbative calculation we set out to undertake is more manageable. Then

$$\langle O(p)\bar{O}(-p) \rangle = \frac{n(k)}{|p|} \quad (2.4)$$

where we have modified the normalization accordingly and the spacetime dimension is strictly $d = 3$. Since the dependence on the momentum is fixed, we set $p^2 = 1$ throughout the rest of the paper and focus on the norm $n(k)$ in momentum space. Unlike $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, such a numerator is not tree level exact and has been evaluated to two loops [9, 10]

$$n(k) = 2N_1N_2 \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{\pi^2 (N_1^2 + N_2^2 - 2)}{48k^2} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-4}) \right) \quad (2.5)$$

where the factor 2 emerges from the two identical contractions of the scalars and might be removed with a different operator normalization or choosing different field flavors. We aim to study the transcendental properties of $n(k)$, especially whether its perturbation theory hints at uniform transcendentality when expanded to higher orders in dimensional regularization $d = 3 - 2\epsilon$.

The one loop correction to the two-point function vanishes identically. In fact, this extends to all odd loop orders. An odd number of antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensors appears

at such perturbative orders, however only a single vector is present in the calculation: x or p . Any contraction of indices with such a vector yields a vanishing result. On the contrary, at even orders an even number of antisymmetric tensors appears, which evaluate in general to products of metric tensors.

For our analysis, vanishing of odd loop contributions is a nuisance, since the next non-trivial perturbative order is four loops. Such a calculation would involve a high computational complexity and entails the expansion to high orders in dimensional regularization of five-loop momentum integrals, which is currently unknown in three dimensions.

Partial evidence for uniform transcendentality arises from the calculation of [11], predicting that the maximally color imbalanced component of the two-point function is expressed to all orders, at $\epsilon = 0$, by the expansion of

$$n(k) \Big|_{\text{maximal powers of } N_1} = 2N_1 N_2 \frac{k \sin\left(\frac{\pi N_1}{k}\right)}{8\pi N_1} \quad (2.6)$$

producing rational multiples of zetas ζ_L at even integer L values, at loop L .

3 The calculation

The two-point function can be evaluated straightforwardly in terms of Feynman diagrams. A key subtlety is that the dimensional reduction scheme [12] is essential for uniform transcendentality [13, 14]. This ensures proper handling of epsilon tensors and γ matrix algebra in the numerators.

We perform the calculation at two loops, separating the result according to the color factors

$$n(k) = 2N_1 N_2 \left(n_0 + \frac{1}{k^2} \left((N_1^2 + N_2^2) c_{N_1^2}(\epsilon) + N_1 N_2 c_{N_1 N_2}(\epsilon) + c_1(\epsilon) \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-4}) \quad (3.1)$$

In momentum space, the tree level result is just the bubble integral

$$n_0 = \text{---} \circ \text{---} = G(1, 1) \quad (3.2)$$

where [15]

$$G(\alpha, \beta) \equiv \frac{e^{\gamma\epsilon} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - \alpha\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - \beta\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha + \beta - \frac{d}{2}\right)}{(4\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(d - \alpha - \beta)} \quad (3.3)$$

By this normalization choice, we are discarding unimportant factors $e^{-\gamma\epsilon} (4\pi)^\epsilon$ for each momentum loop integration, which can be absorbed in the overall factor and in the coupling constant. We recall that the external momentum scale p^2 was set to unity.

After the evaluation of Feynman diagrams, the result is reduced to master integrals. We used FIRE [16, 17] and LiteRed [18, 19] for the task. This leads to the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_{N_1^2}}{\pi^2} = & -\frac{16(2d-5)(3d-8)}{(d-3)^2} \text{---} \circ \text{---} + \frac{16(3d-8)}{d-3} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ & - 32 \text{---} \circ \text{---} - 16 \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} + 16 \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_{N_1 N_2}}{\pi^2} = & 32 \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc + \frac{16(3d-8)(17d^3 - 150d^2 + 433d - 406)}{(d-4)^2(d-3)(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \\
& - \frac{64(11d^2 - 64d + 92)}{(d-4)^2} \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \\
& + \frac{32(2d-5)(3d-8)(45d^4 - 577d^3 + 2775d^2 - 5936d + 4768)}{(d-4)^3(d-3)^2(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \\
& - \frac{8(23d^2 - 155d + 262)}{(d-4)(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc - \frac{4(d-4)}{2d-7} \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_1}{\pi^2} = & - \frac{112(d-3)(3d-10)(3d-8)}{(d-4)^2(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc + \frac{768(d-3)^2}{(d-4)^2} \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \\
& - \frac{32(2d-5)(3d-8)(43d^2 - 288d + 480)}{(d-4)^3(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \\
& + \frac{40(d-3)(3d-10)}{(d-4)(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc + \frac{4(d-4)}{(2d-7)} \text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4c}$$

The diagrams above symbolize the respective master integrals. We have factored out a common π^2 , for later convenience.

4 Uniform transcendentality

The next step to inspect transcendentality properties consists in expanding master integrals in dimensional regularization for $d = 3 - 2\epsilon$. The simplest can be expressed in terms of Γ functions and expanded straightforwardly

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc &= G(1, 1)G(1, 2\epsilon)G\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, 1\right) \\
\text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc &= G(1, 1)^2G(1 + 2\epsilon, 1) \\
\text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc &= G(1, 1)^2G\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, 1\right) \\
\text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc &= G(1, 1)^3
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The $\text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---}$ master integral possesses an exact expression in terms of a hypergeometric function [20]

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{---} \bigcirc \bigcirc \text{---} &= \frac{e^{2\gamma\epsilon}}{(4\pi)^3} G(1, 1) 2\Gamma\left(5 - \frac{3d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - 1\right) \Gamma(d-3) \\
& \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} - 1\right)}{(d-4)\Gamma\left(3 - \frac{d}{2}\right)\Gamma(2d-6)} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} 1, 4-d, d-2 \\ 5-d, 3-\frac{d}{2} \end{array} \middle| 1\right) - \frac{\pi \cot\left(\frac{3\pi d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(d-2)} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Its expansion around three dimensions can be performed with the algorithms of HypExp [21, 22] and HPL [23, 24]. However, at a certain order explicit expressions for harmonic polylogarithms at specific values are not tabled any longer. Hence, extracting analytic

expressions a posteriori, as described momentarily, becomes more practical. An expansion up to transcendental weight 6, i.e. order ϵ^4 can be found in [25]

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\text{Diagram} &= \frac{3\zeta_2}{256} + \left(\frac{21\zeta_3}{128} - \frac{3L_1\zeta_2}{128} \right) \epsilon \\
 &+ \left(\frac{L_1^4}{32} - \frac{21}{128} \zeta_2 L_1^2 + \frac{21\zeta_3 L_1}{64} + \frac{3L_4}{4} + \frac{669\zeta_4}{1024} \right) \epsilon^2 \\
 &+ \left(\frac{3L_1^5}{80} - \frac{9}{64} \zeta_2 L_1^3 + \frac{21}{64} \zeta_3 L_1^2 + \frac{3L_4 L_1}{2} - \frac{669\zeta_4 L_1}{512} + 3L_5 + \frac{75\zeta_2\zeta_3}{64} + \frac{1023\zeta_5}{256} \right) \epsilon^3 \\
 &+ \left(\frac{7L_1^6}{240} + \frac{31}{128} \zeta_2 L_1^4 + \frac{7}{32} \zeta_3 L_1^3 + \frac{3}{2} L_4 L_1^2 - \frac{2091}{512} \zeta_4 L_1^2 + 6L_5 L_1 + \frac{333}{64} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 L_1 \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{1023\zeta_5 L_1}{128} - \frac{129\zeta_3^2}{16} + 12L_6 + \frac{69L_4\zeta_2}{8} + \frac{493773\zeta_6}{16384} - \frac{33}{4} \zeta_{-5,-1} \right) \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5) \quad (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

The following notation has been used for transcendental numbers in this formula

$$L_n \equiv \text{Li}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (4.4)$$

so in particular $L_1 = \log 2$. Multiple zeta values and Euler sums are defined according to

$$\zeta_{-5,-1} = -0.0299016\dots \quad (4.5)$$

To the best of our knowledge, the non-planar master integral lacks a closed expression and has to be expanded with some suitable method. The problem of propagator master integrals has been extensively analyzed in literature in four dimensions [26–28]. Here we apply the dimensional recurrence relations method [3], because the problem of expanding such master integrals has already been addressed, solved and coded in the heaven-sent package SummerTime [25] (see also [29]). With this implementation, expanding the integrals at higher orders in ϵ is fast and precise. After suitably expanding numerically the integrals to some hundreds of digits, coefficients are reconstructed by Mathematica’s implementations of the PSLQ and LLL algorithms. For this task, a basis of transcendental numbers is necessary. Up to the orders we investigated in this work, it seems that Euler sums up to transcendental weight $l+2$ suffice for determining the expansions at order ϵ^l (though it was observed in [25] that this is not the case for four-loop integrals). Up to order ϵ^4 , the non-planar master integral reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\text{Diagram} &= \frac{\zeta_2}{16} - \frac{13}{64} + \left(\frac{\zeta_2 L_1}{2} - \frac{13L_1}{32} - \frac{7\zeta_2}{64} + \frac{17\zeta_3}{32} - \frac{9}{8} \right) \epsilon \\
 &+ \left(-\frac{L_1^4}{4} + \frac{13}{8} \zeta_2 L_1^2 - \frac{13L_1^2}{32} + \frac{47\zeta_2 L_1}{32} + \frac{17\zeta_3 L_1}{16} - \frac{9L_1}{4} - 6L_4 \right. \\
 &\left. - \frac{1319\zeta_2}{128} - \frac{71\zeta_3}{32} + \frac{19\zeta_4}{2} + \frac{115}{16} \right) \epsilon^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(-\frac{3L_1^5}{10} - \frac{9L_1^4}{8} + \frac{4}{3}\zeta_2 L_1^3 - \frac{13L_1^3}{48} + \frac{209}{32}\zeta_2 L_1^2 + \frac{17}{16}\zeta_3 L_1^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{9L_1^2}{4} - 12L_4 L_1 - \frac{3599\zeta_2 L_1}{64} - \frac{71\zeta_3 L_1}{16} + \frac{1277\zeta_4 L_1}{32} + \frac{115L_1}{8} - 27L_4 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 24L_5 + \frac{67\zeta_2}{8} - \frac{249\zeta_2\zeta_3}{64} + \frac{307\zeta_3}{64} + \frac{1519\zeta_4}{256} + \frac{1637\zeta_5}{32} - 24 \right) \epsilon^3 \\
& + \left(-\frac{7L_1^6}{30} - \frac{27L_1^5}{20} - \frac{17}{6}\zeta_2 L_1^4 - \frac{37L_1^4}{96} + \frac{263}{48}\zeta_2 L_1^3 + \frac{17}{24}\zeta_3 L_1^3 - \frac{3L_1^3}{2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 12L_4 L_1^2 - \frac{10439}{64}\zeta_2 L_1^2 - \frac{71}{16}\zeta_3 L_1^2 + \frac{617}{8}\zeta_4 L_1^2 + \frac{115L_1^2}{8} - 54L_4 L_1 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 48L_5 L_1 + \frac{161\zeta_2 L_1}{2} - \frac{1653}{32}\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1 + \frac{307\zeta_3 L_1}{32} + \frac{13561\zeta_4 L_1}{128} + \frac{1637\zeta_5 L_1}{16} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 48L_1 - \frac{1933\zeta_3^2}{32} - 6L_4 - 108L_5 - 96L_6 - 93L_4\zeta_2 + \frac{1641\zeta_2}{32} - \frac{999\zeta_2\zeta_3}{32} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 128\zeta_3 - \frac{618773\zeta_4}{1024} + \frac{1433\zeta_5}{64} + \frac{1689739\zeta_6}{3072} + 66\zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{235}{4} \right) \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From the definition of the integrals or inspection into their expansion, it is clear that some simplifications are obtained by dividing by the one-loop bubble integral . In terms of the two-point functions, this also serves the purpose of factoring the tree level result and normalizing the loop contributions by that.

Contrary to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, such a normalization by the tree level result is not necessary for exposing uniform transcendentality. The tree level contribution is proportional to the integral $G(1, 1)$, which happens to be uniformly transcendental in $d = 3 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions. Hence, normalizing by this contribution does not alter the transcendentality properties of the two-point function.

Plugging the integral expansions into (3.4) produces the following normalized two-loop corrections associated to different color structures

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_{N_1^2}}{n_0} = & -\zeta_2 + \epsilon(19\zeta_3 - 24\zeta_2 L_1) + \epsilon^2 \left(-30\zeta_4 - 48\zeta_2 L_1^2 + 4L_1^4 + 96L_4 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^3 \left(\frac{41\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + \frac{975\zeta_5}{2} - 32\zeta_2 L_1^3 - 1002\zeta_4 L_1 - \frac{16L_1^5}{5} + 384L_5 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^4 \left(-1056\zeta_{-5,-1} - \frac{2675\zeta_3^2}{3} - \frac{7075\zeta_6}{16} - 28\zeta_2 L_1^4 - 1356\zeta_4 L_1^2 + 568\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1 + 864\zeta_2 L_4 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{32L_1^6}{15} + 1536L_6 \right) + O(\epsilon^5)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_{N_1 N_2}}{n_0} = & \epsilon(36\zeta_2 L_1 - 55\zeta_3) + \epsilon^2 \left(72\zeta_2 L_1^2 - \frac{463\zeta_4}{2} \right) + \epsilon^3 \left(139\zeta_2\zeta_3 - 2612\zeta_5 + 96\zeta_2 L_1^3 + 564\zeta_2^2 L_1 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^4 \left(\frac{11132\zeta_3^2}{3} - \frac{369337\zeta_6}{24} + 128\zeta_2 L_1^4 + 2340\zeta_4 L_1^2 + 264\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1 + 768\zeta_2 L_4 \right) + O(\epsilon^5)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_1}{n_0} = & 2\zeta_2 + \epsilon(17\zeta_3 + 12\zeta_2 L_1) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{583\zeta_4}{2} + 24\zeta_2 L_1^2 - 8L_1^4 - 192L_4 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^3 \left(-\frac{499\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + 1637\zeta_5 - 32\zeta_2 L_1^3 + 594\zeta_4 L_1 + \frac{32L_1^5}{5} - 768L_5 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^4 \left(2112\zeta_{-5,-1} - \frac{5782\zeta_3^2}{3} + \frac{195281\zeta_6}{12} - 72\zeta_2 L_1^4 + 372\zeta_4 L_1^2 - 1400\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1 - 2496\zeta_2 L_4 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{64L_1^6}{15} - 3072L_6 \right) + O(\epsilon^5)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

The result is manifestly uniformly transcendental, up to the order to which it was expanded. A few more orders are indirectly evaluated below, where we leverage uniform transcendentality to streamline the expansion of the non-trivial master integrals.

The empirical evidence exposed above suggests that, analogously to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM in four dimensions, the two-point function of protected, dimension-1 operators in ABJM exhibits uniform transcendentality. Unfortunately, the peculiarities of the ABJM perturbative expansion limit the scope of this evidence to only one non-trivial order, two loops. What is more with respect to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is that uniform transcendentality holds across the various color structures, providing different constraints on the transcendental structure of the master integrals. Only two are independent though, since

$$2c_{N_1^2} + c_{N_1 N_2} + c_1 = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

to all orders in ϵ , as ascertained at the level of master integrals (3.4). The choice $N_1 = N_2 = 2$ yields the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ BLG model [30, 31] which consequently also exhibits uniform transcendentality.

5 Constraints on the transcendentality of master integrals

In this section we discuss the consequences of the uniform transcendentality conjecture at the level of the master integrals. Since there are two independent combinations which exhibit the property, we can infer uniformly transcendental combinations involving the two non-trivial master integrals separately.

In the coefficient $c_{N_1^2}$ (3.4a) we can observe that  and  are independently uniformly transcendental, since they can be expressed in terms of Γ functions of uniform transcendental expansions. The other master integrals are made uniformly transcendental by the rescalings $(1 - 4\epsilon)$  and $(1 - 6\epsilon)$ . Precisely the combination

$$\frac{4(6\epsilon - 1)}{\epsilon^2} \left((1 - 4\epsilon) \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} + 2\epsilon \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \right) \tag{5.1}$$

appears in (3.4a), upon setting $d = 3 - 2\epsilon$. Therefore the remaining integral in (3.4a)  has to be uniformly transcendental too, which is in fact the case. An explicit expansion is provided in (4.3) up to order ϵ^4 . From a numerical expansion with SummerTime, we can

reconstruct a few more expansion coefficients in terms of rational combinations of uniformly transcendental bases. The full bases of transcendental Euler sums with only an upper limit on transcendentality possess more elements. Hence, using the former demands less precision in the numerical evaluations and allow for much faster reconstructions. By taking the ratio with the one-loop bubble integral

$$\bar{V}(\epsilon) \equiv \text{Diagram} / \text{Diagram} \quad (5.2)$$

additional simplifications occur as various transcendentals constructed thanks to products of $\log 2$ dropping out of the expansion. We state a couple further terms, up to transcendental weight 8 or ϵ^6 , to demonstrate this fact and corroborate the uniform transcendentality property

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}(\epsilon) = & \frac{3\zeta_2}{32} + \epsilon \left(\frac{21\zeta_3}{16} - \frac{3\zeta_2 L_1}{8} \right) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{297\zeta_4}{64} - \frac{3}{4}\zeta_2 L_1^2 + \frac{L_1^4}{4} + 6L_4 \right) \\ & + \epsilon^3 \left(\frac{49\zeta_2\zeta_3}{8} + \frac{1023\zeta_5}{32} + \zeta_2 L_1^3 - \frac{297\zeta_4 L_1}{16} - \frac{L_1^5}{5} + 24L_5 \right) + \epsilon^4 \left(-66\zeta_{-5,-1} - \frac{1025\zeta_3^2}{16} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{111783\zeta_6}{512} + \frac{5}{4}\zeta_2 L_1^4 + \frac{27}{8}\zeta_4 L_1^2 + \frac{91}{4}\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1 + 54\zeta_2 L_4 + \frac{2L_1^6}{15} + 96L_6 \right) \\ & + \epsilon^5 \left(-\frac{960}{7}\zeta_{-5,1,1} + \frac{888}{7}\zeta_{5,-1,-1} + \frac{960}{7}L_1\zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{283419\zeta_3\zeta_4}{448} + \frac{105729\zeta_2\zeta_5}{160} + \frac{375\zeta_7}{2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \zeta_2 L_1^5 - \frac{56}{3}\zeta_3 L_1^4 - \frac{9}{2}\zeta_4 L_1^3 + \frac{133}{2}\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1^2 - \frac{1023}{4}\zeta_5 L_1^2 - \frac{1200}{7}\zeta_3^2 L_1 - \frac{585345\zeta_6 L_1}{896} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 216\zeta_2 L_5 - 448\zeta_3 L_4 - \frac{8L_1^7}{105} + 384L_7 \right) \\ & + \epsilon^6 \left(\frac{25440}{7}\zeta_{-7,-1} - \frac{15534}{7}\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{158559\zeta_{5,3}}{560} - \frac{3552}{7}\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1} - \frac{3840}{7}\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1776}{7}L_1^2\zeta_{-5,-1} - \frac{166843}{168}\zeta_2\zeta_3^2 - \frac{648799\zeta_3\zeta_5}{560} + \frac{199150479\zeta_8}{17920} + \frac{2}{3}\zeta_2 L_1^6 + \frac{608}{105}\zeta_3 L_1^5 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2747}{16}\zeta_4 L_1^4 + \frac{58}{21}\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1^3 + \frac{999}{14}\zeta_3^2 L_1^2 - \frac{490401}{448}\zeta_6 L_1^2 - \frac{4869}{56}\zeta_3\zeta_4 L_1 - \frac{134349}{140}\zeta_2\zeta_5 L_1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 864\zeta_2 L_6 - \frac{4864\zeta_3 L_5}{7} + \frac{8025\zeta_4 L_4}{2} + \frac{4L_1^8}{105} + 1536L_8 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Two additional terms, up transcendental weight 10, are displayed explicitly in the appendix, since they start becoming bulky. Different choices of basis elements for Euler sums are possible.

From relation (3.4c) we can infer that the lower transcendental part of the non-planar master integral is exactly provided, order-by-order, by the negative of the lower transcendental terms of all other contributions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Diagram 1 (crossed lines)} \Big|_{\text{lower transc}} = \left(\frac{8(1-4\epsilon)(1-6\epsilon)(172\epsilon^2 + 60\epsilon + 3)}{(1+2\epsilon)^4} \right) \text{Diagram 2} + \\
 & \frac{56(1-6\epsilon)(1+6\epsilon)\epsilon}{(1+2\epsilon)^3} \text{Diagram 3} - \frac{768(1+4\epsilon)\epsilon^2}{(1+2\epsilon)^3} \text{Diagram 4} \\
 & - \frac{20(1+6\epsilon)\epsilon}{(1+2\epsilon)^2} \text{Diagram 5} \Big|_{\text{lower transc}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Surprisingly, the terms on the right-hand-side of this equation turn out to provide exactly the lower transcendental part of the non-planar integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Diagram 1 (crossed lines)} \Big|_{\text{lower transc}} = \frac{8(1-4\epsilon)(1-6\epsilon)(172\epsilon^2 + 60\epsilon + 3)}{(1+2\epsilon)^4} \text{Diagram 2} + \\
 & \frac{56(1-6\epsilon)(1+6\epsilon)\epsilon}{(1+2\epsilon)^3} \text{Diagram 3} - \frac{768(1+4\epsilon)\epsilon^2}{(1+2\epsilon)^3} \text{Diagram 4} - \frac{20(1+6\epsilon)\epsilon}{(1+2\epsilon)^2} \text{Diagram 5}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

This is an empirical observation and we lack an explanation for it. As a result, the expansion of c_1 in (3.4c) coincides with the maximally transcendental part of the non-planar master integral. Conversely, the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(\epsilon) \equiv & \text{Diagram 1 (crossed lines)} - \frac{8(1-4\epsilon)(1-6\epsilon)(172\epsilon^2 + 60\epsilon + 3)}{(1+2\epsilon)^4} \text{Diagram 2} \\
 & - \frac{56(1-6\epsilon)(1+6\epsilon)\epsilon}{(1+2\epsilon)^3} \text{Diagram 3} + \frac{768(1+4\epsilon)\epsilon^2}{(1+2\epsilon)^3} \text{Diagram 4} + \frac{20(1+6\epsilon)\epsilon}{(1+2\epsilon)^2} \text{Diagram 5}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

is, conjecturally, uniformly transcendental to all orders in ϵ .

We leverage this conjecture to facilitate the analytic extraction of its coefficients. A numeric evaluation of (5.6) can be fitted to numbers belonging to a uniform transcendental basis. The working hypothesis for this integral is that Euler sums are sufficient for the task. A few simplifications occur after normalizing by the one-loop bubble integral

$$\bar{U}(\epsilon) \equiv U(\epsilon) \Big/ \text{Diagram 6} \tag{5.7}$$

The expansion of such a combination reads, up to transcendental weight 8

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{U}(\epsilon) = & 2\zeta_2 + \epsilon(17\zeta_3 + 12\zeta_2 L_1) + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{583\zeta_4}{2} + 24\zeta_2 L_1^2 - 8L_1^4 - 192L_4 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^3 \left(-\frac{499\zeta_2\zeta_3}{3} + 1637\zeta_5 - 32\zeta_2 L_1^3 + 594\zeta_4 L_1 + \frac{32L_1^5}{5} - 768L_5 \right) + \epsilon^4 \left(2112\zeta_{-5,-1} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{5782\zeta_3^2}{3} + \frac{195281\zeta_6}{12} - 72\zeta_2 L_1^4 + 372\zeta_4 L_1^2 - 1400\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1 - 2496\zeta_2 L_4 - \frac{64L_1^6}{15} - 3072L_6 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^5 \left(\frac{73728}{7}\zeta_{-5,1,1} + \frac{14592}{7}\zeta_{5,-1,-1} - \frac{73728}{7}L_1\zeta_{-5,-1} - \frac{3648733\zeta_3\zeta_4}{84} + \frac{69111\zeta_2\zeta_5}{5} \right. \\
& \left. + 57908\zeta_7 - \frac{1376}{5}\zeta_2 L_1^5 + \frac{3136}{3}\zeta_3 L_1^4 + 3984\zeta_4 L_1^3 - 8848\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1^2 + 8184\zeta_5 L_1^2 + \frac{92160}{7}\zeta_3^2 L_1 \right. \\
& \left. - 9216\zeta_2 L_4 L_1 + \frac{883713\zeta_6 L_1}{28} - 16128\zeta_2 L_5 + 25088\zeta_3 L_4 + \frac{256L_1^7}{105} - 12288L_7 \right) \\
& + \epsilon^6 \left(-\frac{814080}{7}\zeta_{-7,-1} + \frac{394944}{7}\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{26946\zeta_{5,3}}{35} + \frac{113664}{7}\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{122880}{7}\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1} - \frac{56832}{7}L_1^2\zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{706528}{63}\zeta_2\zeta_3^2 - \frac{17904254\zeta_3\zeta_5}{105} + \frac{2980042927\zeta_8}{5040} \right. \\
& \left. - 704\zeta_2 L_1^6 + \frac{18176}{105}\zeta_3 L_1^5 - 1510\zeta_4 L_1^4 - \frac{114752}{21}\zeta_2\zeta_3 L_1^3 - \frac{15984}{7}\zeta_3^2 L_1^2 - 18432\zeta_2 L_4 L_1^2 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{751473}{14}\zeta_6 L_1^2 - 12288\zeta_2 L_5 L_1 - \frac{241596}{7}\zeta_3\zeta_4 L_1 + \frac{2064312}{35}\zeta_2\zeta_5 L_1 - 39936\zeta_2 L_6 \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{145408\zeta_3 L_5}{7} - 171024\zeta_4 L_4 + \frac{4352L_1^8}{105} + 2048L_4 L_1^4 + 24576L_4^2 - 49152L_8 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

and two additional orders are presented in the appendix. The fact that these expansions can be determined in terms of uniformly transcendental numbers is a corroboration of the uniform transcendentality conjecture.

From a practical perspective, working with uniformly transcendental objects offers substantial advantages in the reconstruction. For instance, fixing an analytic form for $U(\epsilon)$ at order ϵ^5 and ϵ^6 (transcendental weights 7 and 8) can be performed with basis sizes of 21 and 34 independent Euler sums. The reconstruction achieves stability around order 180 and 380 digits, respectively. Full bases of all independent Euler sums up to transcendental weights 7 and 8 have sizes 54 and 88. In those cases, an effective coefficient reconstruction of the non-planar master integral would require order 570 and 1250 digits, respectively.

Since the number of linearly independent basis elements for Euler sums at fixed transcendental weight n grows according to the Fibonacci sequence F_{n+1} [32], the number of elements in a full basis considering transcendentality $\leq l$ is

$$\sum_{n=0}^l F_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\left(-\left(2 + \sqrt{5} \right) \phi \right)^{-l} + \left(2 + \sqrt{5} \right) \phi^l \right) - 1$$

where ϕ is the golden ratio. The full basis is asymptotically ϕ^2 times larger than the uniformly transcendental one, with a relative difference asymptoting ϕ .

6 Comparison to four dimensions

An analogous observation of uniform transcendentality for two-point functions of lowest dimensions protected operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM was put forward in [2]. Conjecturing it holds to all orders in ϵ , it implies that the following combination of three-loop master integrals is uniformly transcendental when expanded at $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{G(1,1)} & \left(\text{Diagram 1} + \frac{16(d-3)^2}{(d-4)^2} \text{Diagram 2} \right. \\ & + \frac{16(2d-5)(3d-8)(d(9d-65)+118)}{(d-4)^4} \text{Diagram 3} \\ & + \frac{128(2d-7)(d-3)^2}{(d-4)^3} \text{Diagram 4} - \frac{(48(3d-10)(3d-8)(d-3)}{(d-4)^3} \text{Diagram 5} \\ & \left. + \frac{(12(3d-10)(d-3)}{(d-4)^2} \text{Diagram 6} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

This observation can then be used to facilitate reconstructing the expansion coefficients of the non-planar master from numerics at high order in ϵ , if needed.

At four loops the question of uniformly transcendental master integrals is more interesting. The uniform transcendentality conjecture for two-point functions of dimension-2 operators only provides one constraint, over order twenty master integrals, which is not sufficient for extracting useful information. In case other independent constraints can be derived from other observables, then the situation could improve.

7 Conclusions

In this work we conjecture that the dimensional regularization expansion of the two-point function of supersymmetric dimension-1 operators in ABJM exhibits uniform transcendentality. This is an empirical perturbative statement verified only at two-loop order and up to a certain fixed power of the regulator ϵ , that is ϵ^8 . The extension to further perturbative orders, and to the whole ϵ expansion can only be conjectured. As additional support, the same phenomenon seems to occur in four dimensions for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory [2]. In that context, a few more data points are accessible, since it is possible to extract non-trivial results at odd loop orders, where the ABJM analogues vanish trivially.

While in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM no transcendentals outside the MZV realm pop up at three-loop perturbative order (four loop momentum integrals), the uniform transcendentality conjecture in ABJM involves Euler sums already at two-loop order. This causes a more demanding extraction of analytic coefficients from numerics, since the basis of numbers whose coefficients are unknown is larger. This in turn requires additional precision in the numerical evaluation. We have leveraged the uniform transcendentality conjecture to construct a combination of master integrals of uniform transcendental weight, whose analytic determination is then more straightforward. We have estimated the relative advantage of a uniformly transcendental basis of Euler sums over the complete one, just because its asymptotics are governed by the golden ratio, henceforth aesthetically satisfactory.

If a similar uniform transcendentality statement could be put forward for some two-point correlator in ABJM requiring four-loop master integrals in momentum space, it would likely involve transcendentals beyond Euler sums, whose appearance was diagnosed in [25]. This would constitute a natural development of the present work.

In [2] a relation was observed between the two-point function of protected operators and their three-point function in the limit of a soft external momentum. It would be interesting to explore whether a similar relation holds for three-point functions in ABJM. This might shed additional light on conflicting and not completely satisfactory results in literature for such three-point functions [9, 10].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico, through Fondecyt Regular 1220240 and Fondecyt Exploración 13220060.

A Expansions up to transcendental weight 10

A.1 Planar non-trivial master integral

At transcendental weight 9 $\bar{V}(\epsilon)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{V}(\epsilon)^{(7)} = & \frac{285092}{91} \zeta_3 \zeta_{-5,-1} - 528 \zeta_{-7,1,1} - \frac{290088}{91} \zeta_2 \zeta_{-5,1,1} - \frac{10992}{13} \zeta_2 \zeta_{5,-1,-1} \\
& - \frac{344112}{91} \zeta_{7,-1,-1} + \frac{1824}{91} \zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1,1} + \frac{94176}{91} \zeta_{-5,-1,-1,1,1} \\
& - \frac{31392}{91} \zeta_{-5,-1,1,-1,-1} - \frac{8256}{7} \zeta_{-5,-1,1,1,1} + \frac{94480}{91} L_1^3 \zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{15696}{91} L_1^2 \zeta_{-5,1,1} \\
& + \frac{78480}{91} L_1^2 \zeta_{5,-1,-1} + \frac{1319424}{91} L_1 \zeta_{-7,-1} + \frac{383352}{91} \zeta_2 L_1 \zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{450279}{280} L_1 \zeta_{5,3} \\
& - \frac{188352}{91} L_1 \zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1} + \frac{94176}{91} L_1 \zeta_{-5,-1,1,1} + \frac{7078265 \zeta_3^3}{4368} - \frac{227534193 \zeta_4 \zeta_5}{58240} \\
& + \frac{12805099 \zeta_3 \zeta_6}{2912} + \frac{9236757 \zeta_2 \zeta_7}{2912} + \frac{1156507903 \zeta_9}{11648} + \frac{9944 \zeta_2 L_1^7}{1365} + \frac{3313}{819} \zeta_3 L_1^6 \\
& - \frac{82595}{364} \zeta_4 L_1^5 - \frac{93097}{273} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 L_1^4 - \frac{362133}{455} \zeta_5 L_1^4 + \frac{50607}{91} \zeta_3^2 L_1^3 + \frac{10464}{91} \zeta_2 L_4 L_1^3 \\
& - \frac{5798693 \zeta_6 L_1^3}{1456} - \frac{31392}{91} \zeta_2 L_5 L_1^2 + \frac{7848}{13} \zeta_3 L_4 L_1^2 - \frac{92811}{52} \zeta_3 \zeta_4 L_1^2 \\
& - \frac{9117039 \zeta_2 \zeta_5 L_1^2}{1820} + \frac{412767}{364} \zeta_7 L_1^2 - \frac{6308713 \zeta_2 \zeta_3^2 L_1}{1092} + \frac{141264}{91} \zeta_3 L_5 L_1 \\
& - \frac{19620}{7} \zeta_4 L_4 L_1 + \frac{2023293}{364} \zeta_3 \zeta_5 L_1 - \frac{3070273579 \zeta_8 L_1}{58240} + 3456 \zeta_2 L_7 \\
& - \frac{535456 \zeta_3 L_6}{91} - \frac{832920}{91} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 L_4 + \frac{378438 \zeta_4 L_5}{91} - \frac{198936 \zeta_5 L_4}{65} - \frac{6094 L_1^9}{12285} \\
& - \frac{5232}{455} L_4 L_1^5 + \frac{5232}{91} L_5 L_1^4 + \frac{125568 L_4 L_5}{91} + 6144 L_9
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

and at transcendental weight 10

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{V}(\epsilon)^{(8)} = & -\frac{9535368644L_1^{10}}{2204604675} + \frac{10136039869\zeta_2L_1^8}{146973645} - \frac{67459049044\zeta_3L_1^7}{1028815515} \\
& - \frac{5155105472L_4L_1^6}{48991215} - \frac{137185298171\zeta_4L_1^6}{97982430} + \frac{718840064L_5L_1^5}{1399749} \\
& - \frac{657074324446\zeta_2\zeta_3L_1^5}{342938505} + \frac{2154785922977\zeta_5L_1^5}{489912150} + \frac{366988482535\zeta_3^2L_1^4}{117578916} \\
& - \frac{133506303839\zeta_6L_1^4}{29861312} + \frac{17736241944\zeta_{-5,-1}L_1^4}{3266081} - \frac{521024256L_5\zeta_2L_1^3}{171899} \\
& + \frac{371882464L_4\zeta_3L_1^3}{466583} - \frac{29277789236\zeta_4L_1^3}{22862567} - \frac{1295291888057\zeta_2\zeta_5L_1^3}{16330405} \\
& + \frac{307275852353\zeta_7L_1^3}{3266081} + \frac{2831273728\zeta_{-5,1,1}L_1^3}{3266081} + \frac{7514040064\zeta_{5,-1,-1}L_1^3}{3266081} \\
& - \frac{3594946629991\zeta_2\zeta_3^2L_1^2}{68587701} + \frac{5111506944L_5\zeta_3L_1^2}{466583} - \frac{6635190808L_4\zeta_4L_1^2}{251237} \\
& + \frac{1426412816737\zeta_3\zeta_5L_1^2}{6532162} - \frac{16459147570365\zeta_8L_1^2}{77010752} + \frac{57941015760\zeta_{-7,-1}L_1^2}{3266081} \\
& + \frac{1120484738784\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1}L_1^2}{22862567} + \frac{1968005646\zeta_{5,3}L_1^2}{251237} - \frac{32928721920\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1}L_1^2}{3266081} \\
& + \frac{10156179664L_4\zeta_2L_1^4}{9798243} + \frac{1560336768\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1}L_1^2}{466583} + \frac{1156584567818\zeta_3^3L_1}{68587701} \\
& + \frac{41240843776L_4L_5L_1}{3266081} - \frac{36664186752L_6\zeta_3L_1}{3266081} - \frac{215749225920L_4\zeta_2\zeta_3L_1}{3266081} \\
& - \frac{113959525872L_5\zeta_4L_1}{3266081} + \frac{67433321120L_4\zeta_5L_1}{466583} - \frac{180965609690483\zeta_4\zeta_5L_1}{457251340} \\
& - \frac{199201020799687\zeta_3\zeta_6L_1}{1463204288} + \frac{80779677613\zeta_2\zeta_7L_1}{6532162} + \frac{132095610426391\zeta_9L_1}{313543776} \\
& - \frac{872653386616\zeta_3\zeta_{-5,-1}L_1}{22862567} + \frac{2288880480\zeta_{-7,1,1}L_1}{35891} - \frac{110366345088\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,1,1}L_1}{3266081} \\
& - \frac{100802498752\zeta_2\zeta_{5,-1,-1}L_1}{3266081} - \frac{13974354528\zeta_{7,-1,-1}L_1}{3266081} + \frac{2411892096\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1,1}L_1}{466583} \\
& + \frac{16464360960\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,1,1}L_1}{3266081} + \frac{2923810688\zeta_{-5,-1,1,-1,-1}L_1}{3266081} \\
& - \frac{2575785984\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1,1}L_1}{251237} + \frac{189576960L_5^2}{251237} + \frac{1994713814L_4\zeta_3^2}{753711} - \frac{4353274155729\zeta_5^2}{281385440} \\
& + 24576L_{10} - \frac{47394240L_4^2\zeta_2}{251237} + 13824L_8\zeta_2 - \frac{285952L_7\zeta_3}{7} - \frac{2219497680L_5\zeta_2\zeta_3}{1758659} \\
& - \frac{3887701666323\zeta_3^2\zeta_4}{112554176} + \frac{9353977032L_6\zeta_4}{251237} + \frac{46679978124L_5\zeta_5}{1256185} \\
& - \frac{7927859205619\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_5}{140692720} + \frac{467151029397L_4\zeta_6}{2009896} - \frac{452601269\zeta_3\zeta_7}{502474} \\
& + \frac{36056747508643599\zeta_{10}}{72034672640} + \frac{95686736352\zeta_{-9,-1}}{251237} - \frac{96851640888\zeta_2\zeta_{-7,-1}}{1758659} \\
& - \frac{362346048L_4\zeta_{-5,-1}}{35891} - \frac{556597338369\zeta_4\zeta_{-5,-1}}{3517318} + \frac{99612869469\zeta_2\zeta_{5,3}}{28138544} \\
& + \frac{698630689767\zeta_{7,3}}{112554176} - \frac{23010936400\zeta_3\zeta_{-5,1,1}}{1758659} + \frac{136155400\zeta_3\zeta_{5,-1,-1}}{1758659}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{4631578080\zeta_{-7,-1,-1,-1}}{251237} - \frac{7269041952\zeta_{-7,-1,1,1}}{251237} - \frac{6435234432\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1}}{1758659} \\
& - \frac{17006670432\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1}}{1758659} - \frac{1018001664\zeta_{-5,1,-1,-1,-1,-1}}{251237} \\
& - \frac{94788480\zeta_{-5,1,1,-1,1,-1}}{251237} + \frac{798079872\zeta_{-5,1,1,1,-1,-1}}{251237} - \frac{852615936\zeta_{-5,1,1,1,1,1}}{251237} \tag{A.2}
\end{aligned}$$

The rational coefficients experience a suspicious jump upwards in complexity starting at transcendental weight 10, but this is due to the presence of a large ubiquitous prime number, 1889. A similar phenomenon occurs for MZV's at weight 12, due to the large prime 691 in the numerator of the Bernoulli number B_{12} . The stability of the reconstruction of the coefficients has been tested across several hundreds of precision in the numerical evaluation of the integrals, beyond its onset.

A.2 Non-planar uniformly transcendental combination of master integrals

The expansion coefficients of $\bar{U}(\epsilon)$ up to transcendental weight 10 read

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{U}(\epsilon)^{(7)ll} = & \frac{11255680}{91}\zeta_3\zeta_{-5,-1} - 62976\zeta_{-7,1,1} + \frac{32172288}{91}\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,1,1} - \frac{4875776}{91}\zeta_2\zeta_{5,-1,-1,-1} \\
& - \frac{12255744}{91}\zeta_{7,-1,-1} + \frac{1747968}{91}\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1,1} + \frac{3265536}{91}\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,1,1} \\
& + \frac{1893376}{91}\zeta_{-5,-1,1,-1,-1} + \frac{608256}{7}\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1,1} + \frac{5047808}{91}L_1^3\zeta_{-5,-1} - \frac{946688}{91}L_1^2\zeta_{-5,1,1} \\
& - \frac{260608}{91}L_1^2\zeta_{5,-1,-1} + \frac{49815552}{91}L_1\zeta_{-7,-1} - \frac{25587456}{91}\zeta_2L_1\zeta_{-5,-1} + \frac{1951668}{35}L_1\zeta_{5,3} \\
& - \frac{6531072}{91}L_1\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1} + \frac{3265536}{91}L_1\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1} + \frac{87515314\zeta_3^3}{819} - \frac{1479701267\zeta_4\zeta_5}{1820} \\
& - \frac{40907276245\zeta_3\zeta_6}{13104} - \frac{3366953\zeta_2\zeta_7}{91} + \frac{23121935561\zeta_9}{3276} + \frac{233216\zeta_2L_1^7}{4095} - \frac{8295712\zeta_3L_1^6}{4095} \\
& - \frac{6506952}{455}\zeta_4L_1^5 + \frac{3811552}{91}\zeta_2\zeta_3L_1^4 - \frac{43629632\zeta_5L_1^4}{1365} - \frac{29920}{91}\zeta_3^2L_1^3 - \frac{4129792}{273}\zeta_2L_4L_1^3 \\
& - \frac{4953750}{91}\zeta_6L_1^3 - \frac{9288704}{91}\zeta_2L_5L_1^2 - \frac{473344}{13}\zeta_3L_4L_1^2 - \frac{11126216}{13}\zeta_3\zeta_4L_1^2 \\
& + \frac{113917112}{455}\zeta_2\zeta_5L_1^2 + \frac{39982056}{91}\zeta_7L_1^2 + \frac{102468008}{273}\zeta_2\zeta_3^2L_1 - 147456\zeta_2L_6L_1 \\
& + \frac{4898304}{91}\zeta_3L_5L_1 - \frac{4547456}{7}\zeta_4L_4L_1 + \frac{6615288}{91}\zeta_3\zeta_5L_1 - \frac{587857077\zeta_8L_1}{1820} \\
& - 258048\zeta_2L_7 - \frac{3939328\zeta_3L_6}{91} + \frac{67331840}{91}\zeta_2\zeta_3L_4 - \frac{135553728\zeta_4L_5}{91} - \frac{38468608\zeta_5L_4}{65} \\
& - \frac{476992L_1^9}{12285} - \frac{1289728L_4L_1^5}{1365} + \frac{1289728}{273}L_5L_1^4 + \frac{10317824L_4L_5}{91} - 196608L_9
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{U}(\epsilon)^{(8)} = & \frac{193583934848L_1^{10}}{11023023375} - \frac{115326602912\zeta_2L_1^8}{734868225} - \frac{988689478016\zeta_3L_1^7}{5144077575} \\
& + \frac{206442604544L_4L_1^6}{244956075} - \frac{4323741943184\zeta_4L_1^6}{244956075} + \frac{189258760192L_5L_1^5}{34993725}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{99634322068672\zeta_2\zeta_3L_1^5}{1714692525} - \frac{31516238658832\zeta_5L_1^5}{244956075} - \frac{1823443023928\zeta_3^2L_1^4}{146973645} \\
& + 32768L_6L_1^4 - \frac{154731350528L_4\zeta_2L_1^4}{48991215} - \frac{1879223542881\zeta_6L_1^4}{4665830} \\
& + \frac{77932463360\zeta_{-5,-1}L_1^4}{3266081} - \frac{84441751552L_5\zeta_2L_1^3}{859495} + \frac{7659324416L_4\zeta_3L_1^3}{2332915} \\
& - \frac{124113413905792\zeta_3\zeta_4L_1^3}{114312835} + \frac{13448253034912\zeta_2\zeta_5L_1^3}{16330405} - \frac{9102340863776\zeta_7L_1^3}{16330405} \\
& - \frac{139551801344\zeta_{-5,1,1}L_1^3}{16330405} + \frac{338954846208\zeta_{5,-1,-1}L_1^3}{16330405} - \frac{49152}{5}L_4^2L_1^2 \\
& + \frac{283482885319456\zeta_2\zeta_3^2L_1^2}{342938505} - 294912L_6\zeta_2L_1^2 + \frac{127585738752L_5\zeta_3L_1^2}{2332915} \\
& - \frac{979150630144L_4\zeta_4L_1^2}{1256185} - \frac{35190890820656\zeta_3\zeta_5L_1^2}{16330405} + \frac{14236192309081\zeta_8L_1^2}{12032930} \\
& + \frac{17875425099264\zeta_{-7,-1}L_1^2}{16330405} - \frac{51822569782272\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1}L_1^2}{114312835} \\
& + \frac{13597543296\zeta_{5,3}L_1^2}{251237} - \frac{1244595191808\zeta_{-5,-1,-1}L_1^2}{16330405} \\
& + \frac{131915132928\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1}L_1^2}{2332915} - \frac{84491033992672\zeta_3^3L_1}{342938505} \\
& - \frac{1116426125312L_4L_5L_1}{16330405} - 196608L_7\zeta_2L_1 + \frac{651122749440L_6\zeta_3L_1}{3266081} \\
& + \frac{23571268601856L_4\zeta_2\zeta_3L_1}{16330405} - \frac{23804318298624L_5\zeta_4L_1}{16330405} \\
& - \frac{6115751323648L_4\zeta_5L_1}{2332915} + \frac{687025452705776\zeta_4\zeta_5L_1}{114312835} \\
& - \frac{482730659185321\zeta_3\zeta_6L_1}{228625670} + \frac{3284687600144\zeta_2\zeta_7L_1}{16330405} \\
& + \frac{291569715153149\zeta_9L_1}{48991215} + \frac{22123700403968\zeta_3\zeta_{-5,-1}L_1}{22862567} \\
& - \frac{194572778496\zeta_{-7,1,1}L_1}{179455} + \frac{9141735161856\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,1,1}L_1}{16330405} \\
& + \frac{5249247401984\zeta_2\zeta_{5,-1,-1}L_1}{16330405} - \frac{9735062332416\zeta_{7,-1,-1}L_1}{16330405} \\
& - \frac{98529325056\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1,1}L_1}{2332915} + \frac{461763182592\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,1,1}L_1}{16330405} \\
& - \frac{37101842432\zeta_{-5,-1,1,-1,-1}L_1}{3266081} + \frac{227378528256\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1,1}L_1}{1256185} \\
& - \frac{622331584512L_5^2}{1256185} - \frac{228940688320L_4\zeta_3^2}{753711} - \frac{24032755897757\zeta_5^2}{8793295} \\
& + 786432L_4L_6 - 786432L_{10} + \frac{334640510976L_4^2\zeta_2}{1256185} - 638976L_8\zeta_2 \\
& + \frac{26484736L_7\zeta_3}{35} + \frac{1883485807104L_5\zeta_2\zeta_3}{8793295} - \frac{29574019653139\zeta_3^2\zeta_4}{158279310} \\
& - \frac{2364489123072L_6\zeta_4}{1256185} - \frac{1402421188992L_5\zeta_5}{1256185} - \frac{9041030424546\zeta_2\zeta_3\zeta_5}{8793295} \\
& - \frac{9533825728788L_4\zeta_6}{1256185} - \frac{7749622338496\zeta_3\zeta_7}{3768555} + \frac{513309731999841631\zeta_{10}}{28138544000}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{3294308047872\zeta_{-9,-1}}{251237} + \frac{31484349153024\zeta_2\zeta_{-7,-1}}{8793295} - \frac{85147736064L_4\zeta_{-5,-1}}{179455} \\
& + \frac{23131188644304\zeta_4\zeta_{-5,-1}}{8793295} + \frac{2882611601582\zeta_2\zeta_{5,3}}{43966475} - \frac{899943270451\zeta_{7,3}}{17586590} \\
& + \frac{1295698469376\zeta_3\zeta_{-5,1,1}}{8793295} - \frac{6327273143552\zeta_3\zeta_{5,-1,-1}}{8793295} \\
& + \frac{854235614208\zeta_{-7,-1,-1,-1}}{1256185} + \frac{1070657270784\zeta_{-7,-1,1,1}}{1256185} \\
& - \frac{377390456832\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1,-1,-1}}{8793295} + \frac{621206486016\zeta_2\zeta_{-5,-1,1,1}}{8793295} \\
& + \frac{35739672576\zeta_{-5,1,-1,-1,-1,-1}}{1256185} + \frac{49152}{5}\zeta_{-5,1,-1,1,-1,-1} - \frac{98304}{5}\zeta_{-5,1,1,-1,-1,1} \\
& + \frac{39492169728\zeta_{-5,1,1,-1,1,-1}}{1256185} - \frac{45016952832\zeta_{-5,1,1,1,-1,-1}}{1256185} \\
& + \frac{9277956096\zeta_{-5,1,1,1,1,1}}{1256185}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Data Availability Statement. This article has no associated data or the data will not be deposited.

Code Availability Statement. This article has no associated code or the code will not be deposited.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY4.0](#)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$N = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091 [[arXiv:0806.1218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] M.S. Bianchi, *Protected and uniformly transcendental*, *JHEP* **09** (2023) 121 [[arXiv:2306.06239](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] R.N. Lee, *Space-time dimensionality D as complex variable: Calculating loop integrals using dimensional recurrence relation and analytical properties with respect to D* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **830** (2010) 474 [[arXiv:0911.0252](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] D.H. Bailey and H.R.P. Ferguson, *A polynomial time, numerically stable integer relation algorithm*, Report SRC-TR-92-066, Supercomputing Research Center (1991).
- [5] S. Arno and H. Ferguson, *A new polynomial time algorithm for finding relations among real numbers*, Report SRC-93-093, Supercomputing Research Center (1993).
- [6] D.H. Bailey and D.J. Broadhurst, *Parallel integer relation detection: Techniques and applications*, *Math. Comput.* **70** (2001) 1719 [[math/9905048](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] A.K. Lenstra, H.W. Lenstra and L. Lovász, *Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients*, *Math. Ann.* **261** (1982) 515 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D.L. Jafferis, *Fractional M2-branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 043 [[arXiv:0807.4924](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[9] D. Young, *ABJ(M) Chiral Primary Three-Point Function at Two-loops*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 120 [[arXiv:1404.1117](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.1117)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[10] M.S. Bianchi, *On three-point functions in ABJM and the latitude Wilson loop*, *JHEP* **10** (2020) 075 [[arXiv:2005.09522](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.09522)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[11] M.S. Bianchi and M. Leoni, *An exact limit of the Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 045011 [[arXiv:1605.02745](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.02745)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[12] W. Siegel, *Supersymmetric Dimensional Regularization via Dimensional Reduction*, *Phys. Lett. B* **84** (1979) 193 [[INSPIRE](#)].

[13] M.S. Bianchi, G. Giribet, M. Leoni and S. Penati, *Light-like Wilson loops in ABJM and maximal transcendentality*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 111 [[arXiv:1304.6085](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.6085)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[14] M.S. Bianchi and M. Leoni, *On the ABJM four-point amplitude at three loops and BDS exponentiation*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 077 [[arXiv:1403.3398](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.3398)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[15] K.G. Chetyrkin, A.L. Kataev and F.V. Tkachov, *New Approach to Evaluation of Multiloop Feynman Integrals: The Gegenbauer Polynomial \times Space Technique*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **174** (1980) 345 [[INSPIRE](#)].

[16] A.V. Smirnov, *Algorithm FIRE — Feynman Integral REDuction*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 107 [[arXiv:0807.3243](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3243)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[17] A.V. Smirnov and F.S. Chukharev, *FIRE6: Feynman Integral REDuction with modular arithmetic*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **247** (2020) 106877 [[arXiv:1901.07808](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.07808)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[18] R.N. Lee, *Presenting LiteRed: a tool for the Loop InTEgrals REDuction*, [arXiv:1212.2685](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.2685) [[INSPIRE](#)].

[19] R.N. Lee, *LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **523** (2014) 012059 [[arXiv:1310.1145](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.1145)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[20] A.V. Kotikov, *The Gegenbauer polynomial technique: The evaluation of a class of Feynman diagrams*, *Phys. Lett. B* **375** (1996) 240 [[hep-ph/9512270](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9512270)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[21] T. Huber and D. Maitre, *HypExp: A Mathematica package for expanding hypergeometric functions around integer-valued parameters*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175** (2006) 122 [[hep-ph/0507094](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0507094)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[22] T. Huber and D. Maitre, *HypExp 2, Expanding Hypergeometric Functions about Half-Integer Parameters*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 755 [[arXiv:0708.2443](https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.2443)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[23] D. Maitre, *HPL, a mathematica implementation of the harmonic polylogarithms*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **174** (2006) 222 [[hep-ph/0507152](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0507152)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[24] D. Maitre, *Extension of HPL to complex arguments*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183** (2012) 846 [[hep-ph/0703052](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703052)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[25] R.N. Lee and K.T. Minglev, *Introducing SummerTime: a package for high-precision computation of sums appearing in DRA method*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **203** (2016) 255 [[arXiv:1507.04256](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.04256)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[26] R.N. Lee, A.V. Smirnov and V.A. Smirnov, *Master Integrals for Four-Loop Massless Propagators up to Transcendentality Weight Twelve*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **856** (2012) 95 [[arXiv:1108.0732](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.0732)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

[27] A. Georgoudis, V. Goncalves, E. Panzer and R. Pereira, *Five-loop massless propagator integrals*, [arXiv:1802.00803](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.00803) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [28] A. Georgoudis et al., *Glue-and-cut at five loops*, *JHEP* **09** (2021) 098 [[arXiv:2104.08272](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.08272)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] R.N. Lee and K.T. Mingulov, *DREAM, a program for arbitrary-precision computation of dimensional recurrence relations solutions, and its applications*, [arXiv:1712.05173](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.05173) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 065008 [[arXiv:0711.0955](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0955)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] A. Gustavsson, *Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **811** (2009) 66 [[arXiv:0709.1260](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.1260)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] J. Blumlein, D.J. Broadhurst and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *The Multiple Zeta Value Data Mine*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 582 [[arXiv:0907.2557](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2557)] [[INSPIRE](#)].